

FACTORS AFFECTING CONSUMERS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS ONLINE FOOD DELIVERY SERVICES IN PUNJAB

Dr. Satinder Kumar¹, Sandeep Kumar²

School of Management Studies Punjabi University¹, School of Management Studies
Punjabi University²

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: Revised: Approved:	<p><i>In this modern era, advancements in technology have grown rapidly. These advancements have led the e-commerce sector to open new avenues for today's businesses, especially online food delivery services. E-commerce is the buying and selling of goods and services over the internet. A combination of marketing intelligence and technology has resulted in the development of mobile applications which use the internet as a medium to advertise products and services. The extant research purpose is to examine consumers' attitudes towards online food delivery services in Patiala. To know their opinion, data was collected from online questionnaires, questionnaires were sent through the mail. Data for this empirical study was collected online and analyzed using the SPSS through a structured questionnaire to achieve the objective. The study identified the factors in the adoption of food delivery services and an attempt has been made to position them in the Multi-Dimensional Matrix. Findings of the study that convenience motivation, service, purchase safety, and security, purchase intention, delivery experience, price value, and service quality of food delivered factors are considered to be directly affecting the success of online food delivery services. Information quality perceived comparability, and perceived usefulness is found to be considered indirect factors. Online food ordering is a rapidly growing industry around in India, as well as city of Patiala is at an immature stage. Due to the growth and reach of the Internet as well as the hectic schedule of the consumer, businesses need to identify the need of the customer and deliver food to the doorstep of the consumer. A better understanding of the consumer market will contribute to realizing the full potential of the e-commerce platform as it has the potential to impact the economy, businesses, and the quality of life of the people.</i></p>
KEYWORDS	Consumer attitudes, Online food delivery service, Consumer preferences, Mobile application
	This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International

INTRODUCTION

Consumers prefer E-commerce platforms as a shopping medium because they can shop in the comfort of their own homes and at the leisure of their own time (Jiang et al., 2019). The rapid growth of the internet and wireless technologies has substantially impacted online retailing and e-commerce advancement (Bressolles et al., 2020). Online

retailing minimizes the effort of users in making their decisions by providing more options for selecting and comparing products' information screens. Food delivery services are provided by two types of retailers. The first is retailers themselves, fast-food restaurants such as McDonald's, Pizza Hut, Domino's Pizza, and Kentucky Fried Chicken come under this group. The second category includes many restaurant intermediaries who provide delivery services for a large range of restaurants. Examples include Food Panda, Room Service, Eat24hours.com, Just-eat.com, Delivery.com, and more (Rezaei et al., 2018).

Technology has played a vital role in changing the food delivery operation, and it has led to changes in customer expectations by motivating people to do everything online, including having cooked food delivered to their homes. The demand for food delivery has increased significantly over time. People are shifting their preference to online shopping and food ordering as more technology-driven innovations emerge. The process of ordering food through a website or other application is known as online food ordering. According to a report by Boston Consulting Group (BCG), India's food online ordering market is expected to grow at a compound annual growth rate of 25 to 30% to touch \$7.5\$8 billion by 2022.

The study of consumer attitudes is the most important aspect of personal and professional development. It helps in understanding the tastes and preferences of the consumers. Convenience is the prime factor the consumers, as placing an order is as simple as a few clicks on any mobile device. Customers of online food delivery value ease and convenience as online food ordering eliminated the frustration of bad traffic or weather (Ha et al., 2019). Online shopping has enabled consumers to reduce their decision-making efforts by offering greater options to choose from, screen information, and compare products (Alba et al., 2022). Online food delivery is growing business through its business mobile app.

Mobile applications play a vital role in the online food delivery business. Food delivery platform operators have created a new technology model for food delivery services that allow consumers to connect with a variety of local restaurants and food suppliers through mobile apps. The app is designed for anyone who wants to order food from a variety of cafes and restaurants. After placing an order, users can use the Smart Tracking function in the app to track the progress of their order. It is especially ideal for travelers, as it allows them to order from anywhere in the world. World Wide Waiter, the first restaurant food delivery service in the world, launched in 1995 in the United States and is still operational today as Waiter.com (Jin et al., 2021). An online food ordering system is software that allows restaurants to accept and manage internet orders.

The objective of the study is to analyze the various factors that influence consumers when choosing an online food delivery. Consumers may have different attitudes under different circumstances. We can gain a better understanding of the "Online Food Delivery Service Market" according to this research. We will learn towards consumer attitudes towards the services they provide in the Patiala district and the variables that influence their attitudes. Therefore, these findings may help in working on these variables to fill in the gaps in the mindset of the service providers consumer. Take a look at the literature related to online food ordering and delivery services, which is described below to start this discussion.

RESEARCH METHOD

Primary data has been collected using a five-point Likert scale. A standardized scale has been adapted from (Buvaneswari. et al., 2021), which included questions related to online food delivery services. Data was collected through an online questionnaire, Questionnaires were sent by mail to 200 people, out of which 136 people were respondents. As the survey was conducted in Patiala, Patiala is the fifth largest district in Punjab, and people from different culture lives in Patiala. Due to the small and homogeneous population, all groups of the frame are given the same probability. Non-probability sampling method has been applied. The purposive sampling method was used. Cronbach alpha reliability test was applied to know the internal consistency of the questionnaire. KMO test (0.831) was applied to measure the sampling adequacy and Bartlett's Test (0.000) of Sphericity were performed to know the appropriateness of Factor Analysis.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Demographic profile

The respondents' profiles show that 51.5% are female, 48.5 are male and 44.2% are post-graduates in the sample. Interestingly, many of the respondents are students (55.2%) whose marital status is unmarried (80.8%). These demographics revealed that the Zomato application is the most popular OFDS (55.2 percent).

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

Profile	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
<i>Female</i>	70	51.5
<i>Male</i>	66	48.5
Age		
<i>18–25</i>	100	73.5
<i>26–30</i>	10	7.4
<i>31–35</i>	11	8.0
<i>Above 35</i>	15	11.1
Occupation		
<i>Private Job</i>	20	14.7
<i>Government Job</i>	15	11
<i>Self-Employed</i>	8	5.9
<i>Student</i>	75	55.2
<i>Unemployed</i>	18	13.2
Marital Status		
<i>Single</i>	110	80.8
<i>Married</i>	26	19.2
Education		
<i>Intermediate</i>	20	14.7
<i>Graduate</i>	40	29.4
<i>Post Graduate</i>	60	44.2
<i>Doctorate</i>	16	11.7
Preferred OFDSs		
<i>Zomato</i>	75	55.2
<i>Swiggy</i>	40	29.4
<i>Food Panda</i>	21	15.4

Source: Primary data

4.2 OFDS usage determinants

Nine variables were found using factor analysis based on replies to 30 statements showing the respondent's attitudes toward the factors in the adoption of the OFDS (principal component technique). The Mean, standard deviation, loadings of the rotated factors, commonalities, percentage of variance, and Cronbach alpha are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Determinants of Online Food Delivery Services

Items and Indicators	\bar{x}	R	h²	h²_i	% of r²	α
Convenience Motivation (CM)					10.589	0.887
<i>I feel good when I am using the food delivery app.</i>	2.82	0.570	0.755	0.672		
<i>I feel favorable towards the food delivery apps.</i>	4.49	0.590	0.675	0.672		
<i>I choose my app to purchase food products.</i>	3.80	0.675	0.586	0.615		
<i>I like purchasing food products through my app.</i>	3.97	0.720	0.582	0.592		
<i>I can enquire towards the delivery of my orders made.</i>	2.89	0.759	0.484	0.558		
<i>I find it easy to use the application.</i>	4.08	0.637	0.455	0.660	7.475	0.754
Perceived Safety and Security (PSS)						
<i>My app has adequate security features.</i>	3.69	0.773	0.776	0.694		
<i>I feel that my privacy is protected in my app.</i>	4.72	0.698	0.742	0.702		
<i>I feel safe in my transactions when I use it in my app.</i>	3.81	0.687	0.554	0.550		
<i>My app offers diverse forms of contact channels (FAQ, email, toll-free no., etc.)</i>	2.79	0.785	0.473	0.551	7.327	0.767
Purchase intention (PI)						
<i>I would encourage friends and relatives to use the application I use.</i>	2.89	0.642	0.773	0.670		
<i>I like using the</i>	3.89	0.715	0.665	0.706		

<i>application in future.</i>					
<i>My app is the best retail food delivery apps to do business with.</i>	4.85	0.763	0.640	0.728	
<i>If I had to purchase again, I would be buying from the app.</i>	3.91	0.733	0.454	0.507	
<i>I am satisfied with my decision to use the application.</i>	4.93	0.723	0.491	0.562	8.335 0.741
Information Quality (IQ)					
<i>The amount of information on the app is sufficient.</i>	2.95	0.676	0.765	0.674	
<i>I don't waste time on my app.</i>	3.47	0.870	0.790	0.544	
<i>The app which I use provide up-to-date information.</i>	4.26	0.679	0.652	0.557	
<i>The information on the app is updated periodically.</i>	4.40	0.658	0.525	0.575	5.421 0.725
Perceived Usefulness (PU)					
<i>My app enhances my effectiveness in buying food products.</i>	3.21	0.557	0.465	0.516	
<i>My app enables me to buy food products more quickly.</i>	4.10	0.689	0.586	0.560	
<i>I get what I order from my food delivery app.</i>	2.99	0.500	0.453	0.653	5.181 0.935
Perceived Compatibility (PC)					
<i>My app offers information towards customers' policies</i>	2.28	0.705	0.600	0.610	
<i>My interaction with the app is clear and understandable.</i>	2.89	0.694	0.645	0.416	4.645 0.721
Delivery Experience (DE)					
<i>It is quick and easy to complete a transaction in my app.</i>	3.01	0.740	0.700	0.417	

<i>The product is delivered by the time promised by the app.</i>	2.53	0.754	0.692	0.516		
Price Value (PV)					3.071	0.703
<i>My app is useful for buying quality food products.</i>	2.91	0.731	0.731	0.790		
Service Quality (SQ)						
<i>Products purchased have good value for money</i>	2.37	0.638	0.676	0.906		
					3.780	0.707
<i>My app has a good selection of food and restaurants.</i>	3.87	0.678	0.754	0.589		
<i>The product which I receive is perfect.</i>	2.78	0.646	0.668	0.655		

\bar{x} - Mean, r - Standard deviation, h_2 - Rotated factor Loadings, h_{2i} - Communalities, % of r^2 - Percentage of Variance, α - Cronbach Alpha

We choose to consider factor loading should be higher than 0.60 as recommended by chin (1998) and that every loading less than 0.4 should be eliminated while evaluating factor loading (Henseler et al., 2009). As a result, the instrument displays good factor loading since every item is greater than 0.40 with Cronbach's alpha (CA) values, the construct realibility was evaluated. Time 1 show that scale have internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha values over 0.70. (Henseler et al, 2009). The average variance (AVE) was higher than the threshold of 0.50 as suggested by Fornell and Larcker (1981). The results of the measurement model are shown in Table 1.

CONCLUSION

As per the study conducted, various factors influence consumer attitudes regarding online food delivery. The purpose is to know what are the influencing factors, their attitudes, needs, positioning of various attributes of different online portals in their mind, and overall satisfaction towards online food delivery services. Nine factors were considered in this study, the study found that convience motivation, service, purchase safety, security, purchase intention, delivery experience, price value, and service quality of food delivered factors are considered to be directly affecting the success of online food delivery services. Information quality perceived comparability, and perceived usefulness is found to be considered indirect factors. Information quality perceived comparability, and perceived usefulness is found to be considered indirect factors. According to the research conducted, it can conclude that Zomato has gained a positive opinion of the majority of the consumers in comparison to other service providers. Zomato has been in the first position as an online food delivery service provider and if it includes the minor improvements, it will sustain its upper hand in the forthcoming future. The present research has shed light on empirical evidence in identifying the determinants that lead to the adoption of OFDS in Patiala cities in India and mapping them based on a perceptual map. Platform owners, restaurants, delivery people, and customers are the main stakeholders in online food delivery services. Service quality and delivery experience were two aspects that depend on the person who prepares the food well in the restaurant and on the timely delivery, on location, and the delivery personnel. When it comes to customers' buying intentions factors, other than

perceived utility and value requires more focus on OFDS. To emphasize purchase intention, to emphasize the intention to buy, the remaining determinants that inevitably lead to the intention to buy must be considered.

REFERENCES

Yeo, Vincent Cheow Sern, See-Kwong Goh, and Sajad Rezaei. "Consumer experiences, attitude and behavioral intention toward online food delivery (OFD) services." *Journal of Retailing and consumer services* 35 (2017): 150-162.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.12.013>

Saad, A. T. (2020). Factors affecting online food delivery service in Bangladesh: an empirical study. *British Food Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-05-2020-0449>

Chandrasekhar, N., Gupta, S., & Nanda, N. (2019). Food delivery services and customer preference: a comparative analysis. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, 22(4), 375-386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15378020.2019.1626208>

Akroush, M. N., & Al-Debei, M. M. (2015). An integrated model of factors affecting consumer attitudes towards online shopping. *Business Process Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-02-2015-0022>

Koay, K. Y., Cheah, C. W., & Chang, Y. X. (2022). A model of online food delivery service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty: a combination of PLS-SEM and NCA approaches. *British Food Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-10-2021-1169>

Song, H., Ruan, W. J., & Jeon, Y. J. J. (2021). An integrated approach to the purchase decision making process of food-delivery apps: Focusing on the TAM and AIDA models. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 95, 102943.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2021.102943>

Alagoz, S. M., & Hekimoglu, H. (2012). A study on tam: analysis of customer attitudes in online food ordering system. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 62, 1138-1143.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.195>

Lan, H., Ya'nan, L. I., & Shuhua, W. A. N. G. (2016). Improvement of online food delivery service based on consumers' negative comments. *Canadian Social Science*, 12(5), 84-88.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/%25x>

Pitchay, A. A., Ganesan, Y., Zulkifli, N. S., & Khaliq, A. (2021). Determinants of customers' intention to use online food delivery application through smartphone in Malaysia. *British Food Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-01-2021-0075>

Tarmazi, S. A. A., Ismail, W. R. W., Azmin, N. A. S. N., & Bakar, A. R. A. (2021). Consumer Purchase Intention toward Online Food Delivery Service: The Implication for Future Research. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 6(9), 347-354. <https://doi.org/10.47405/mjssh.v6i9.972>

Alalwan, A. A. (2020). Mobile food ordering apps: An empirical study of the factors affecting customer e-satisfaction and continued intention to reuse. *International Journal of Information Management*, 50, 28-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.04.008>

Cho, M., Bonn, M. A., & Li, J. J. (2019). Differences in perceptions towards food delivery apps between single-person and multi-person households. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 77, 108-116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.06.019>

Kapoor, A. P., & Vij, M. (2018). Technology at the dinner table: Ordering food online through mobile apps. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 43, 342-351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2018.04.001>

Lee, S. W., Sung, H. J., & Jeon, H. M. (2019). Determinants of continuous intention on food delivery apps: extending UTAUT2 with information quality. *Sustainability*, 11(11), 3141. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11113141>

Buvaneswari, P. S., Swetha, M. S., Ragetha, T., & Nisha, D. (2021). Technology at door step: Consumer perception on food delivery aggregators. *Materials Today: Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.01.735>

Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. In *New challenges to international marketing*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979\(2009\)0000020014](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-7979(2009)0000020014)